

On the non-existence of two-valued lattice homomorphisms of quantum logic

Minoru Koga

Nagoya University, Graduate School of Informatics

koga.minoru@d.mbox.nagoya-u.ac.jp



Abstract

We show that there exists no two-valued lattice homomorphism from the lattice $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ of all closed linear subspaces of a Hilbert space with $\dim(\mathcal{H}) \geq 2$ by using the notion of prime filters.

Introduction

The lattice $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ of all closed linear subspaces of a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} can be seen as the set of experimental propositions of a quantum system, and its lattice structures are considered as logical operations [2]. Then the non-existence of two-valued lattice homomorphisms $\varphi : \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow 2$ implies that we can not assign values of truth or falsity for each proposition non-contextually. We show this non-existence of two-valued lattice homomorphisms by using the notion of prime filters. This idea using prime filters is due to [5], and we complete the proof.

Preliminaries

Definition 1: lattices

For a non-empty set \mathcal{L} , a binary relation \leq on \mathcal{L} is called a *partial order* if \leq is reflexive, transitive and antisymmetric.

A pair (\mathcal{L}, \leq) consists of a non-empty set \mathcal{L} and a partial order \leq on \mathcal{L} is called a *partially ordered set* (or *poset* for short). A poset (\mathcal{L}, \leq) is said to be *bounded* if it has both the maximum element 1 and minimum element 0 with respect to \leq , and denote it by $(\mathcal{L}, \leq, 0, 1)$.

A poset (\mathcal{L}, \leq) is a *lattice* if there exist both the supremum (least upper bound) $a \vee b$ and the infimum (greatest lower bound) $a \wedge b$ for all $a, b \in \mathcal{L}$.

A lattice (\mathcal{L}, \leq) is said to be *distributive* if the following two conditions hold:

$$\begin{aligned} a \wedge (b \vee c) &= (a \wedge b) \vee (a \wedge c) & \text{for all } a, b, c \in \mathcal{L}; \\ a \vee (b \wedge c) &= (a \vee b) \wedge (a \vee c) & \text{for all } a, b, c \in \mathcal{L}. \end{aligned}$$

Example 1

- For a set X , $(2^X, \subseteq, \emptyset, X)$ is a bounded distributive lattice.
- For a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} with $\dim(\mathcal{H}) \geq 2$, $(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}), \subseteq, \{0\}, \mathcal{H})$ is a bounded lattice but not distributive.

Definition 2: quantum logic

For a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} , we call the lattice of all closed linear subspaces of \mathcal{H} the *quantum logic* associated with \mathcal{H} , and denote it by $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$.

Definition 3: lattice homomorphisms

For two bounded lattices $(\mathcal{L}_1, \leq_1, 0, 1)$, $(\mathcal{L}_2, \leq_2, 0, 1)$, a mapping $\varphi : \mathcal{L}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_2$ is called a *lattice homomorphism* if φ satisfies the following conditions:

- $\varphi(0) = 0$, $\varphi(1) = 1$;
- $\varphi(a \vee_1 b) = \varphi(a) \vee_2 \varphi(b)$, $\varphi(a \wedge_1 b) = \varphi(a) \wedge_2 \varphi(b)$ for all $a, b \in \mathcal{L}_1$.

In particular, if $\mathcal{L}_2 = 2 = \{0, 1\}$, we say that φ is two-valued.

A lattice homomorphism φ is called a *lattice isomorphism* if φ is bijective; and we say that \mathcal{L}_1 is isomorphic to \mathcal{L}_2 .

Definition 4: filters

Let $(\mathcal{L}, \leq, 0, 1)$ be a bounded lattice. A subset \mathcal{F} of \mathcal{L} is called a (proper) *filter* in $(\mathcal{L}, \leq, 0, 1)$ if the following three conditions hold:

1. $0 \notin \mathcal{F}$ and $1 \in \mathcal{F}$;
2. $a \wedge b \in \mathcal{F}$ for all $a, b \in \mathcal{F}$;
3. $a \leq b$ and $a \in \mathcal{F}$ imply $b \in \mathcal{F}$ for all $a, b \in \mathcal{L}$.

A filter \mathcal{F} is called a *prime filter* if for all $a, b \in \mathcal{L}$,

$$a \vee b \in \mathcal{F} \text{ implies } a \in \mathcal{F} \text{ or } b \in \mathcal{F}.$$

Distributive lattices have “enough” prime filters.

Fact 1: [1, Theorem 0.7]

For every bounded distributive lattice $(\mathcal{L}, \leq, 0, 1)$, there exists a bounded distributive lattice of subsets of the set of all prime filters in $(\mathcal{L}, \leq, 0, 1)$ which is isomorphic to $(\mathcal{L}, \leq, 0, 1)$.

Proof. (Sketch) Let $\mathfrak{P}(\mathcal{L})$ be the set of all prime filters in $(\mathcal{L}, \leq, 0, 1)$. A mapping

$$\varphi : a \mapsto \mathfrak{P}(a) := \{\mathcal{F} \in \mathfrak{P}(\mathcal{L}) \mid a \in \mathcal{F}\}.$$

gives a lattice isomorphism from $(\mathcal{L}, \leq, 0, 1)$ to $(\{\mathfrak{P}(a)\}_{a \in \mathcal{L}}, \subseteq, \emptyset, \mathfrak{P}(\mathcal{L}))$. ■

Lemma 1: [1, p. 9]

Let $(\mathcal{L}, \leq, 0, 1)$ be a bounded lattice and \mathcal{F} a subset of \mathcal{L} . Then the following conditions are equivalent:

- (a) \mathcal{F} is a prime filter;
- (b) $\mathcal{F} = \varphi^{-1}(1)$ for some two-valued lattice homomorphism $\varphi : \mathcal{L} \rightarrow 2$.

Proof. (Sketch) (a) \implies (b): Suppose that \mathcal{F} is a prime filter. Then a mapping $\varphi : \mathcal{L} \rightarrow 2$ defined by

$$\varphi(a) = \begin{cases} 1 & (a \in \mathcal{F}), \\ 0 & (a \in \mathcal{L} \setminus \mathcal{F}) \end{cases}$$

satisfies $\mathcal{F} = \varphi^{-1}(1)$, and one can verify that φ is a lattice homomorphism from \mathcal{L} to 2.

(b) \implies (a): Suppose that $\mathcal{F} = \varphi^{-1}(1)$ for some lattice homomorphism $\varphi : \mathcal{L} \rightarrow 2$. Then one can verify that \mathcal{F} is a prime filter. ■

Main theorem

Theorem 1

Let \mathcal{H} be a Hilbert space with $\dim(\mathcal{H}) \geq 2$. Then there exists no two-valued lattice homomorphism $\varphi : \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow 2$.

Proof. First, we shall consider the case that \mathcal{H} is a finite dimensional Hilbert space, say $\dim(\mathcal{H}) = n$ ($n \in \mathbb{N}$, $n \geq 2$). Suppose that there exists a two-valued lattice homomorphism $\varphi : \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow 2$. By Lemma 1, there exists a prime filter \mathcal{F} in $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ such that $\varphi^{-1}(1) = \mathcal{F}$. We claim that there exists a $(n-1)$ -dimensional linear subspace $M \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ such that $M \notin \mathcal{F}$. Let $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^n$ be an orthonormal system of \mathcal{H} . Put

$$E_i := \text{span}(\{e_i\}) \quad (i = 1, \dots, n).$$

Then we have

$$\bigvee_{i=1}^n \varphi(E_i) = \varphi\left(\bigvee_{i=1}^n E_i\right) = \varphi(\mathcal{H}) = 1.$$

This implies that there exists a number $i = 1, \dots, n$ such that $\varphi(E_i) = 1$, i.e., $E_i \in \mathcal{F}$; and since \mathcal{F} is a filter, we have $E_i^\perp \notin \mathcal{F}$. This shows the above claim. We fix a $(n-1)$ -dimensional linear subspace $M \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ such that $M \notin \mathcal{F}$.

Now, consider the case that $\dim(\mathcal{H}) = 2$. Then there exists a one-dimensional linear subspace $N \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ such that $N \neq M$ and $N \notin \mathcal{F}$. Since $N \neq M$ and $\dim(\mathcal{H}) = 2$, we have $M \vee N = \mathcal{H} \in \mathcal{F}$. Since \mathcal{F} is a prime filter, we obtain $M \in \mathcal{F}$ or $N \in \mathcal{F}$. This contradicts to the condition that $M, N \notin \mathcal{F}$.

Next, we shall consider the case that $3 \leq \dim(\mathcal{H}) < \aleph_0$. Since $\dim(M) \geq 2$ and $\dim(M^\perp) = 1$, we can take non-zero vectors $x, y, z \in \mathcal{H}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} x, y \in M, \quad x \perp y; \\ z \in M^\perp. \end{aligned}$$

Put

$$\begin{aligned} M_1 &:= \text{span}(\{x+z\}), \\ M_2 &:= \text{span}(\{y+z\}). \end{aligned}$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} M_1 \wedge M_2 &= \{0\} \notin \mathcal{F}, \\ M_1 \vee M &= \mathcal{H} \in \mathcal{F}, \\ M_2 \vee M &= \mathcal{H} \in \mathcal{F}. \end{aligned}$$

Since \mathcal{F} is a prime filter, we have

$$M_1 \in \mathcal{F} \quad \text{or} \quad M \in \mathcal{F}$$

and

$$M_2 \in \mathcal{F} \quad \text{or} \quad M \in \mathcal{F}.$$

Now, since $M \notin \mathcal{F}$, we must have

$$M_1 \in \mathcal{F} \quad \text{and} \quad M_2 \in \mathcal{F}.$$

Since \mathcal{F} is a filter, we obtain

$$\{0\} = M_1 \wedge M_2 \in \mathcal{F}.$$

This is a contradiction. Therefore, there exists no two-valued lattice homomorphism $\varphi : \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow 2$ for the case that $2 \leq \dim(\mathcal{H}) < \aleph_0$.

Finally, we consider the case that \mathcal{H} is an infinite dimensional Hilbert space. Then the quantum logic $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_n)$ associated with a n -dimensional Hilbert space \mathcal{H}_n ($n \in \mathbb{N}$, $n \geq 2$) can be embedded into $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ by an embedding $\iota : \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_n) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$. If there exists a two-valued lattice homomorphism $\varphi : \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow 2$, then the composite $\varphi \circ \iota : \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_n) \rightarrow 2$ gives a two-valued lattice homomorphism, which is impossible as we have shown in the above. Consequently, for any Hilbert space \mathcal{H} with $\dim(\mathcal{H}) \geq 2$, there exists no two-valued lattice homomorphism $\varphi : \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow 2$. ■

Acknowledgements

The author would like to thank Professor Shogo Tanimura for supporting my work. The author also would like to thank Professor Masanao Ozawa, Dr. Kazuya Okamura and Yuki Inoue for discussions.

References

- [1] J. L. Bell. *Set Theory: Boolean-Valued Models and Independence Proofs*, volume 47 of *Oxford Logic Guides*. Oxford University Press, Oxford, 3rd edition, 2011.
- [2] G. Birkhoff and J. von Neumann. The logic of quantum mechanics. *Annals of Mathematics*, 37(4):823–843, 1936.
- [3] J. M. Jauch and C. Piron. Can hidden variables be excluded in quantum mechanics? *Helvetica Physica Acta*, 36:827–837, 1963.
- [4] S. Kochen and E. P. Specker. The problem of hidden variables in quantum mechanics. *Journal of Mathematics and Mechanics*, 17(1):331–348, 1967.
- [5] M. Rédei. *Quantum Logic in Algebra Approach*, volume 91 of *Fundamental Theories of Physics*. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 1998.